# Practical Considerations for Canopy Management J.D. Martinez-Luscher and S. Kaan Kurtural

UCDAVIS

#### From the Canopy to Crop Load

- Shoot system of the grapevine:
  - Stems
  - Leaves
  - Clusters
- Collectively: <u>Microclimate</u>
  - Length
  - Height
  - Width
  - Leaf area
  - Shoot density
  - Leaf layer number



#### Climate within the Grape Canopy

- Microclimate is affected by:
  - Amount of leaf area
  - Distribution of leaf area
  - Their interaction with above ground climate



Fruit Maturity: The point at which fruit composition most closely matches that required to make the style of wine desired



#### Desirable Aspects

- •Uniformly ripe fruit
- •Sound fruit
- An abundance of flavor
  - •With correct composition
- Reaches peak at ideal time
  - Avoiding inclement weather
  - •Winery logistics



#### Berry growth development



Figure 2: Diagram showing relative size and color of berries at 10-day intervals after flowering, passing through major developmental events (rounded boxes). Also shown are the periods when compounds accumulate, the levels of juice "brix, and an indication of the rate of inflow of xylem and phloem vascular saps into the berry. Illustration by Jordan Koutroumanidis, Winetitles.

# Optimum light environment in the fruit zone during ripening

- Maximize diffuse or indirect sunlight within the canopy interior
- Minimize exposure of clusters to direct sunlight – particularly in <u>warm climates</u>









## Radiation Effects on Whole Canopy



# Effects of Solar Radiation on Fixing Carbon by A Grapevine



# ROLE OF CANOPIES: To fix Carbon – Make Sugar!



#### EXPOSURE TO SOLAR RADIATION IS <u>NOT NECESSARY</u> TO REACH MAXIMUM SKIN ANTHOCYANINS



## ROLE OF CANOPIES: Regulation - synthesis of ABA precursors (ripening signal)



# How do photoassimilates travel within the plant?

 Table IV. Total Carbohydrate Content of 4-Year-Old Trunk Girdled

 and Control Thompson Seedless Grapevines\*

Vine	June 3		June 18		
Organ⁵	Control	Girdled	Control	Girdled	
	g carbohydrate/vine*				
Clusters	39	61	128 a	236 b 1	
Leaves	78 a	219 b	84	120 🍝	
Stems	61	82	65	106	
Canes	22	43	26	46	
Trunk	79	70	84	77	
Roots	197	105	261 a	157 b 🤳	
Vine total	476	580	648	742	



14



Roper and Williams, 1989 Plant Phys.

### Leaf area:Fruit Ratio or Ravaz Index: INTERCHANGEABLE



<0.65 m2/Kg  $\rightarrow$  10 Ravaz  $\rightarrow$  Over cropped >1.23 m2/Kg  $\rightarrow$  5 Ravaz  $\rightarrow$  Under cropped

10/28/20

Kliewer and Dokoozlian, 2005 AJEV; Bravdo et al., 1984 and 1985 AJEV

**UCDAVIS** 

15

#### YIELDS IN WINE GRAPE







#### LETS DROP LESS FRUIT... ...NOT SO SIMPLE



#### THERE IS AN APPARENT TRADE OFF BETWEEN YIELDS AND QUALITY

#### YIELDS AS A MULTIPLIER OF REVENUE

<u>District X</u> 1,300,000 tons \$380M **\$300 a ton** 77,000 Acres **16 tons/acre 5000 \$/acre** 600 Vines/acre QUALITY AS A MULTIPLIER OF REVENUE

> <u>District Y</u> 140,000 tons \$683M **\$5000 a ton** 45,000 Acres **3.1 tons/acre 15,300 \$/acre** 800 Vines/acre



Source: Grape Crush report (Approximate data)

# Wine Grape quality is an extremely complex topic:

- Subjective
- Gradually changing
- Hundreds of chemical compounds, hard to measure and hard to give a relative importance to each
- Aspects not related to grape quality determining wine price: Market niche and how much invested in winemaking

...Nobody likes sour grapes



# Vintage failure is strongly associated to reaching a certain sugar level



Source: Bordeaux Vintage quality Ribereau-Gayon, P., and G. Guimberteau. Vintage Reports: 1988-1997. NOAA: Bordeaux Airport meteorological station Reanalyzed from Jones and Davies 2010 AJEV

UCDAVIS



# The planet is getting warmer ...and so are Viticulture regions



# Experimental Design

#### Pre treatment: laterals removed and vines adjusted to 22 shoots per vine in 6m



Oakville 2018 – CS on 110R – 10 years old – 2m by 2.4 m – Relaxed VSP

# Treatment application

Peppercorn size Laterals removed in all vines



33% of fruit 33%: 2/3 of leaves removed



100% of fruit 100% of leaves (only laterals removed)



# Treatment application



33% of fruit33%: 2/3 of leaves removed



100% of fruit ( no secondary)100% of leaves(only laterals removed)



10/28/20

# Leaf area determines carbon fixation: NOT FRUIT

Main effects in Two-way ANOVA (post hoc)

Effect of defoliation



#### Leaf area determines plant water sta Main effects in Two-way ANOVA Effect of defoliation







Both leaves and fruit had an effect

#### Time to reach a desired Brix: Determined by Leaf area: Fruit Ratio





Self adjustment of yields due to "carbon starvation"

## Small canopy $\rightarrow$ lower yield





UCDAVIS

# Berry size determined by leaf area: NOT FRUIT!



0.9 Leaf a 33% 80.6 SH a 66% a 100% 0.3 0.0 16/07 30/07 13/08 27/08 10/09 24/09 Date 0.9 Fruit a 33% a 66% a 100% SE 0.6 fruit - · 33% - - 66% 0.3 - 100% 0.0 16/07 30/07 27/08 10/09 24/09 13/08 Date

% of leaves had an effect on berry size

UCDAVIS

#### Berry size is determined by leaf area: NOT FRUIT



Yield comparison between vintages? Is it a reliable 'Yardstick'



2017





#### CLUSTER/BERRY THINNING DOES NOT IMPROVE ANTHOCYANIN CONTENT IN CABERNET SAUVIGNON



#### CLUSTER THINNING INTRODUCES MORE RADIATION INTO FRUIT ZONE





### EFFECT ON SKIN PROANTHOCYANIDIN CONTENT(2017)



#### LEAF REMOVAL DECREASES, FRUIT REMOVAL INCREASES TANNIN CONTENT



UCDAVIS



#### Main take home messages

Berry size (and thus yield) are more sensitive to canopy size more than crop load or irrigation

Small canopies are more likely reducing plant reserves (root starch) than over cropping

Challenge for increasing yields is controlling big canopies in EARLY SEASON





#### Main take home messages

Berry size (and thus yield) are more sensitive to canopy size more than crop load or irrigation

Small canopies are more likely reducing plant reserves (root starch) than over cropping

Challenge for increasing yields is controlling big canopies Climate may be on our side...for now

Perspectives...



# Conclusions

- Climate is changing
  - Napa has increased annual, accumulated GDDs over past five decades
  - More frequent and intense heat spikes.
- Light (solar radiation) is <u>not limiting</u> in California
  - Damage occurs at low PAR exposure >20% of light intercepted in the fruit zone (Brillante et al. 2017; Cook et al. 2015; Dokoozlian & Kliewer, 1994; Martinez et al. 2017; Yu et al. 2016)
- Greater applied water amounts <u>do not relieve stress</u> from solar radiation
- Shade nets can be used to decrease incidence of solar radiation in fruit zones
  - Decreases in visible damage associated with shade net application
- Shade nets modulate the anthocyanin and flavonol profiles favoring lower rates of flavonoid degradation and higher, relative 3'4'5'-hydroxylated substituents.
- Shade nets can be considered a short-term response to increasing temperatures



# Thanks for your attention!

- Johann Martinez PhD
- Luca Brillante, PhD
- Christopher Chen
- Runze "Cliff" Yu
- Cassandra Plank, PhD
- Marshall Pierce
- Constance Cunty
- Andrew Bebee
- August D'Amato
- Wei-Chao Cheng
- Katie Rouse
- Longjiao Zhang
- Vincenzo Messana

#### Research funded by





- Cameron Parry
- Mary Stump

For more information: skkurtural@ucdavis.edu

Department of Viticulture and Enology



#### Heat...the more the better?



# Polyphenols in danger





## What if it gets warmer?

- Addition of water and tartaric
- Shade nets (kaolin applications did not work for us)
- Breeding efforts for low sugar (INRA and University Montpellier): 135-150 g/L at ripe stage (max berry vol.)
- IPCC projections:
  - 77% increase of surface burned annually by the end of the century

Can you breed a variety to produce cold weather wine in hot climate?



#### Source: cal-adapt.org SCRIPPS





#### Typical grapevine trellis -> Fruit -zone

#### Exposure – Good to induce ripening (remove herbal characters)

Over exposure – Grapes can take a lot but at some point damage appears







Light exposure





UCDAVIS

#### Shift towards positioned and sprawling systems



#### Kinetic development of total anthocyanin concentration



49 10/28/20

## Kinetic development of total flavonol content









# Thanks for your attention!

- Johann Martinez PhD
- Luca Brillante, PhD
- Christopher Chen
- Runze "Cliff" Yu
- Cassandra Plank, PhD
- Marshall Pierce
- Constance Cunty
- Andrew Bebee
- August D'Amato
- Wei-Chao Cheng
- Katie Rouse
- Longjiao Zhang
- Vincenzo Messana

#### Research funded by





- Cameron Parry
- Mary Stump

For more information: skkurtural@ucdavis.edu

Department of Viticulture and Enology



# **Experiment 1: Colored Shade Net Trial**

- Control: Uncovered
- 20% shading factor White
- 40% Shading factor Black
- 40% Shading factor Blue
- 40% Shading factor Aluminet

n=4 (3 vines per rep) Cabernet Sauvignon on VSP Oakville (Napa) NE to SW row orientation











# Fruit-Zone Light Conditions

- Spectral radiation wavelengths in the fruiting zone
  - Quantified using a spectrometer with a cosine-corrected head for net treatments, controls, and in an open field at solar noon (~15:00 h PDT).
- Total Irradiance (Fig. a)
  - The sum of direct and diffuse irradiance ( $\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ )
  - Shows that shade nets worked particularly well in reducing irradiance from within the visible range (410-700 nm).
    - Reduction of up to 60% of irradiance
  - Infrared wavelengths (>700 nm) were reduced greatly as well.
- Diffuse Irradiance (Fig. b)
  - Although diffuse radiation makes up <20% of the total radiation received in the fruit-zone, it contributes.
  - Diffuse irradiance was mostly modulated by the canopy itself, with nets having little influence outside of the visible range.
- Direct Irradiance (Fig. c)
  - Making up the majority of radiation the fruit zone receives, direct irradiance was drastically reduced by the application of a shade net.
  - Few differences were observed between controls and an open field, save for the decrease in green, yellow, and orange wavelengths. Possibly due to leaf interference.





## Conclusions



	Partial solar radiation exclusion			
	Uncovered	Black 40%		
Yield (kg/vine)	No influence	No influence		
Berry mass (g)	No influence	No influence		
Berry temperature		Ļ		
TSS (Brix)	No influence	No influence		
TA (g/L)	Ļ			
рН		Ļ		
$\Sigma$ Anthocyanins	Ļ	1		
Anthocyanin 3'4'5' hydroxylase forms	↓ ↓	1		
$\Sigma$ flavonols	No influence	No influence		
Flavonol 3'4'5' hydroxylase forms	Ļ			

Primary and secondary metabolism response to partial solar radiation exclusion

#### **Cluster Temperatures**

Diurnal-Cluster temperature evolution were measured using a portable infrared thermometer on both sides of the canopy on two dates (a) July-29-East; (b) July-29-West; (c) Sep-11-East; (d) Sep-11-West



#### Key finding: Ripe Fruit in SW side reaches 53°C...with shade nets 48°C



# Visible Damage

Using a rating system we visually assessed damage to whole clusters attributed to excess exposure:

- 0 = No damage
- 1 = Minor damage
- 2 = Moderate damage
- 3 = Extreme damage





## Conclusions

	Partial solar radiation exclusion			
	Uncovered	Black 40%	1.3 ETc	0.65 ETc
Damaged clusters (%)	1	<b>I</b>	No influence	No influence
Berry mass (g)	No influence	No influence		Ļ
Berry temperature		Ļ	No influence	No influence
TSS (Brix)	No influence	No influence	Ļ	1
TA (g/L)	No influence	No influence	No influence	No influence
рН	No influence	No influence	No influence	No influence
$\Sigma$ Anthocyanins	Ļ		No influence	No influence
Anthocyanin 3'4'5' hydroxylase forms	Ļ		No influence	No influence
$\Sigma$ flavonols	1	Ļ	No influence	No influence
Flavonol 3'4'5' hydroxylase forms	Ļ		No influence	No influence

# Conclusions

- Climate is changing
  - Napa has increased annual, accumulated GDDs over past five decades
  - More frequent and intense heat spikes.
- Light (solar radiation) is <u>not limiting</u> in California
  - Damage occurs at low PAR exposure >20% of light intercepted in the fruit zone (Brillante et al. 2017; Cook et al. 2015; Dokoozlian & Kliewer, 1994; Martinez et al. 2017; Yu et al. 2016)
- Greater applied water amounts <u>do not relieve stress</u> from solar radiation
- Shade nets can be used to decrease incidence of solar radiation in fruit zones
  - Decreases in visible damage associated with shade net application
- Shade nets modulate the anthocyanin and flavonol profiles favoring lower rates of flavonoid degradation and higher, relative 3'4'5'-hydroxylated substituents.
- Shade nets can be considered a short-term response to increasing temperatures

